

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	THE DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 09/25/2000	Christoph Widmer	32992	2828
PEARNE & (GORDON LLP R AVENUE EAST		EXAMINER MICHALSKI, JUSTIN I	
SHITE 1200	, ОН 44114-1484		ART UNIT 2644 DATE MAILED: 09/11/200	PAPER NUMBER 7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

KW

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/669,042	WIDMER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mishalaki	2644
The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum is - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep - Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, m imunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum in the brong period will apply and will expire SIX (6)	of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 25 September 2000.	
	about This action is non-illian.	
closed in accordance with the pro-	A for form?	al matters, prosecution as to the merits is 55 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	annlication.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is	s/are withdrawn from consideration	n.
4a) Of the above claim(s)	S/ale Withard	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to	o. Administration and/or election requireme	ent.
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	Striction and/or election require	
Application Papers	v the Examiner.	
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/s	I coconten of the lobicotou	to by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on 15/4	y objection to the drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Applicant may not request that any 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction	filed on is: a)□ approved	b) disapproved by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing corrected drawings at	re required in reply to this Office actio	n.
12) The oath or declaration is objected	ed to by the Examiner.	
420	\	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	claim for foreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
13) Acknowledgment is made of a control of the cont	onf	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None	individaciments have been recei	ved.
		Ved III Application
3 Copies of the certified co	ppies of the priority documents ha	7 2(a)).
application from the	michigan contified co	nies not received.
	laim for domestic priority under 3.	0,0,0,0
14) Acknowledgment is made of a c	ign language provisional applications for domestic priority under 3	on has been received.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreing the foreing that the state of a contract that the state of th	Claim for domocrae price ,	
Attachment(s)	4) 🗔	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Ro 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-	eview (PTO-948) 5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (P10-132)
3) 🖂 Information Discissor's Contraction		Part of Paper No. 7

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/669,042

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed December 3, 2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Specification

- This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37
 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.
- 3. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. See *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ 163 (CCPA 1973); and *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/669,042

Art Unit: 2644

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Claims must start with "I (or we) claim," "The invention claimed is" (or the equivalent). See MPEP 608.01(m). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "two of the signal-carrying channels" in lines 2 and 3 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ward (US Patent 4,811,402).

Application/Control Number: 09/669,042

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding Claim 1, Ward discloses a custom-molded ear-plug device (Figure 2) with at least one acousto-electric converter (transducer 11) or at least one electro-acoustic converter (transducer 13) having an acoustic input or output connected via an acoustic conductor (acoustic conductor 15) to a coupling port on an outer surface of the custom-moulded ear-plug shell (end of conductor 15 and ear-plug device), characterized in that the said acoustic conductor is in the form of a channel extending through, and bounded by, material of the custom-moulded ear-plug shell.

Regarding Claim 4, Ward discloses a device as stated above apropos of Claim 1. Ward further discloses for a substantial segment of its length the channel extends essentially parallel to the outer surface of the custom-molded ear-plug device (Figure 4, Channel 15 extends parallel to top portion of earplug device).

Regarding Claim 5, Ward discloses a device as stated above apropos of Claim 1. Ward further discloses the device characterized in that the custom-molded ear-plug device is a hearing aid (Column 3, lines 17-20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/669,042

Art Unit: 2644

10. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ward as applied to claim 1 above in view of Johnson (US Patent 4,311,206).

Regarding Claim 2, Ward discloses a custom-moulded ear-plug device as stated above apropos of Claim 1. Ward does not disclose the channel features varying in cross-sectional dimensions or shapes. Johnson discloses an ear-plug device (Figure 5) with a channel used for acoustic conduction (references 54) varying in cross-sectional dimensions over the length of the ear-plug device. Johnson teaches the shape provides a resonance system that will resonate at selected frequencies when acoustic energy is applied.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the hearing aid disclosed by Ward with the varying cross-sectional channel as disclosed by Johnson in order to produce a more customized audio output.

Regarding Claim 3, Ward discloses a custom-moulded ear-plug device as stated above apropos of Claim 1. Ward does not disclose a matching stub for tuning the acoustic transmission properties. Johnson further discloses a matching stub line (line 54) serving for the tuning of the acoustic transmission properties which extends into channel (channel 54 and 46) and is bounded by the material of the custom-moulded ear-plug shell. Johnson teaches the shape provides a resonance system that will resonate at selected frequencies when acoustic energy is applied. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the hearing aid disclosed by Ward with a matching stub for tuning acoustic properties as disclosed by Johnson in order to produce a more customized audio output.

Page 6

· 'Application/Control Number: 09/669,042

Art Unit: 2644

- applied to claim 2 in view of French (US Patent 1,830,198). Ward discloses a custom-moulded ear-plug device as stated above apropos of Claim 1. Ward discloses the device as an in-ear hearing aid (Column 3, lines 17-20) but does not disclose the channel as part of a venting system for the ear drum. French discloses an ear receiver nipple (Figures 4 and 5) including a channel (channel G) for passing acoustic energy. French discloses a venting system comprising channels G and M to keep pressure from building up in the ear channel (Page 1, Line 62-72). French also teaches a device lacking a venting system would cause irregular compression of the air in the ear channel preventing proper reception and interpretation of auditory sounds (Page 1, Lines 53-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the channel as part of a venting system for the ear drum with the hearing aid disclosed by Ward in order to keep pressure from building up in the ear channel and allowing proper reception.
 - 12. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ward as applied to claim 1 above in view of Saltykov (PCT WO 0038477). Ward discloses a custom-moulded ear-plug device as stated above apropos of Claim 1. Ward does not disclose a segment of at least two of the signal-carrying channels extending in parallel fashion.

 Saltykov discloses a dual-input hearing aid where ports (i.e. channels) (Figure 2, 16-1' and 16-2') carry acoustic energy to microphone 10 in a parallel fashion for a certain segment). Saltykov discloses two channels carrying acoustic energy can give a sense of directionality (Page 1, paragraph 3-4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at

'Application/Control Number: 09/669,042

Art Unit: 2644

the time the invention was made to include a second signal-carrying channel in order to enhance and obtain a clearer the audio signal produced by the device.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sciarra (US Patent 4,539,440). Sciarra discloses a hearing aid with a varying diameter channel.

Bordewijk (PCT WO94/10818). Bordewijk discloses a hearing aid with acoustoelectric converter and parallel channel.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Michalski whose telephone number is (703)305-5598. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Isen can be reached on (703)305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

JIM

NU MEI
PRIMARY EXAMINER